

THE REVIEW OF AFFINITY SENSORS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF COVID-19

Maryia Drobysh^{1*}, Almira Ramanaviciene², Roman Viter^{3,4}, Arunas Ramanavicius^{1,2}

¹*Department of Functional Materials and Electronics, State Research Institute Center for Physical and Technological Sciences, Vilnius, Lithuania*

²*NanoTechnas–Center of Nanotechnology and Materials Science, Faculty of Chemistry and Geosciences, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania*

³*Center for Collective Use of Scientific Equipment, Sumy State University, Sumy, Ukraine*

⁴*Institute of Atomic Physics and Spectroscopy, University of Latvia, Riga, Latvia*

**maryia.drobysh@ftmc.lt*

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a spherical structure inside which is a helically symmetrical nucleocapsid containing positive-sense single-stranded RNA. SARS-CoV-2 genome expresses open reading frames encoding structural proteins, namely, spike (S), envelope (E), nucleocapsid (N), and membrane (M) protein. The entry of the SARS-CoV-2 into the host triggers the sequential chain stimulation of the different immune cells leading to the inducing of the humoral immune responses by expressing antigen-specific antibodies, mostly, immunoglobulins M (IgM) and G (IgG). Commonly, the S- and N-proteins act as antigens for specific binding to antibodies.

Reducing the dissemination rate by the implementation of fast and sensitive detection methods is the main tool against the spreading of SARS-CoV-2 causing the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). There are three general strategies currently used to detect SARS-CoV-2 and to diagnose COVID-19, namely, molecular tests based on the determination of viral RNA [1], antigen tests based on the determination of viral proteins, and antibody tests based on the determination of specific antibodies against the coronavirus. Besides the conventional approaches, affinity biosensors also showed efficacy in the determination of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Some of the sensors were reviewed in our paper [2].

As an analytical signal source for biosensing, nucleic acid hybridization, antigen-antibody interactions, monitoring surface alterations, and changes of reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels [3] are employed. Among the overviewed antigen-antibody affinity sensors, molecularly imprinted polymers (MIP) based electrochemical sensor with the lowest detection limit (15 fM) was shown as more stable in comparison to protein based sensors [4]. The spectroscopic ellipsometry and surface plasmon resonance (SE/SPR) based technique made it possible to draw important conclusions about the structure of the antigen-antibody complex, as well as to study the kinetics of its formation, which is valuable for the design of new immunosensors [5]. The review revealed that the biosensors with electrochemical based signal registration prevail in biosensing development due to their cheapness, simplicity, and mass production capability.

Acknowledgments: This project has received funding from Research Council of Lithuania (LMTLT) grant No. S-LLT-21-3 and performed in cooperation with the University of Latvia Project No FP-21106- ZF-N-109.

References

1. J. Dronina, U.S. Bubniene, A. Ramanavicius. *Biosens Bioelectron.* **175** (2021) 112867.
2. M. Drobysh, A. Ramanaviciene, R. Viter, A. Ramanavicius. *Micromachines.* **12** (2021).
3. Z.S.Miripour, R. Sarrami-Forooshani, H. Sanati, J. Makarem, M.S. Taheri, F. Shojaeian, A.H. Eskafi, F. Abbasvandi, N. Namdar, H. Ghafari et al. *Biosens Bioelectron.* **165** (2020) 112435.
4. A. Raziq, A. Kidakova, R. Boroznjak, J. Reut, A. Opik, V. Syritski. *Biosens Bioelectron.* **178** (2021) 113029.
5. I. Plikusiene, V. Maciulis, A. Ramanaviciene, Z. Balevicius, E. Buzavaite-Verteliene, E. Ciplys, R. Slibinskas, M. Simanavicius, A. Zvirbliene, A. Ramanavicius. *Colloid Interface Sc.* **594** (2021) 195-203.