

Effect of Ultrasonication On Magnetic Fe₃O₄ Nanoparticles

Gytautė Sirgėdaitė¹, Lina Mikoliunaitė^{1, 2}

¹Department of Physical Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Geosciences, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ²Department of Organic Chemistry, Center for Physical Sciences and Technology, Vilnius, Lithuania

INTROUCTION. Iron oxide nanoparticles have gained popularity due to their easy availability, easy synthesis, and superparamagnetic properties [1]. The size of the nanoparticles ranges from 10 nm to 100 nm. Due to the inter-particle adhesion forces, nanoparticles become agglomerated, and their settlement can be observed due to the gravity forces. To achieve the maximum benefit from nanoparticles while working with them, it is desired to have an aggregate- and sediment-free structure where all the nanoparticles contribute to the dispersion. Improving the dispersion stability of nanofluids through ultrasonication has been shown to be effective. It could be noted that ultrasonication is a complicated physiochemical process, which can break down the agglomeration as well as create further aggregation, and many other effects [1]. In this work we have investigated effect of ultrasonication on magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles using different ultrasonication equipment, and effect on nanoparticles using different stabilizers and mediums

EXPERIMENT. 0,1 mg/ml Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles in **RESULTS.**

water were ultrasonicated in ultrasonication bath for 15 min., collected visible sedimentation changes in tube, and measured hydrodynamic particles size by DLS. Results were compared using different ultrasonication time and ultrasonication equipment. In addition, medium was changed to PBS buffer and different concentrations of sodium acetate and trisodium citrate stabilizers were used.

NANOPARTICLES.Nanoparticlesweresynthesized by coprecipation method.

After ultrasonication

Α.



1 h after ultrasonication

Table 1. Size and zeta potential of the nanoparticles afterultrasonication bathfrom DLS data

	Size, nm	Zeta potential, mV
0,074 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in H ₂ O for 15 min .	$72,\!4 \pm 6,\!44$	$-36,8 \pm 0,74$
0,074 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in H ₂ O for 5 min .	$98,9 \pm 3,52$	-54,1 ± 0,41
0,074 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in H ₂ O for 30 min.	59,5 ± 3,24	$-34,6 \pm 0,29$
0,074 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in H ₂ O by ultrasonication finger	$58,0 \pm 2,98$	-
0,024 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in H_2O for 15 min.	$80,\!4\pm 5,\!86$	$-26,2 \pm 0,45$
0,024 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O in PBS buffer for 15 min.	$729,8 \pm 28,55$	-
0,024 M FeSO ₄ \cdot 7H ₂ O with 0,01 M sodium acetate for 15 min.	598,9 ± 66,27	$-30,0 \pm 0,72$
0,024 M FeSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O with 0,001 M trisodium citrate for 15 min.	$41,\!9\pm 2,\!76$	- 54,0 ± 0,41
0,074 M FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O in H ₂ O for 15 min.	Too large to measure	-
0,024 M FeCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O in H ₂ O for 15 min.	83,3 ± 2,77	$-23,0 \pm 0,44$
1:2 ratio Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ mix in H_2O for 15 min.	46,3 ± 3,86	$-34,5 \pm 047$







CONCLUSIONS.

- There is no crucial difference to use ultrasonication bath or ultrasonication finger. Visible nanoparticles sedimentation and measured size by DLS were similar.
- Different ultrasonication time shows different nanoparticles size: shorter time results bigger size nanoparticles, longer – smaller size particles. Nanoparticles hydrodynamic size in PBS buffer is increasing. It could be because of ions from buffer around nanoparticles. 0,01 M sodium acetate is not working as a stabilizer, nanoparticles are aggregated, because of it, measured size is increased. Therefore 0,001 M trisodium citrate could work as a stabilizer. Nanoparticles, which were synthesized using 0,074 M FeCl2·4H2O, sedimented more after 1 hour in comparison to others. In 1 hour, solution from black became transparent, big, aggregated particles were visible. It was impossible to measure particles using DLS due to their big size and fast sedimentation. Nanoparticles in PBS buffer were already sedimented just after ultrasonication.



100 nm

Fig. 1 Magnetic Fe_3O_4 nanoparticles synthesized by coprecipitation method **A.** 0,074 M $FeSO_4$ ·7H2O **B**. 0,074 M $FeCl_2$ ·4H₂O **C**. 1:2 ratio Fe^{2+}/Fe^{3+} mix

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This research is funded by the European Social Fund under the No 09.3.3-LMT-K-712 "Development of Competences of Scientists, other Researchers and Students through Practical Research Activities" measure.

REFERENCES

 D. Xiao, et al., Microchimica Acta, 183 (10), 2655–2675 (2016).
I. M. Mahbubul, et al., Ultrasonics Sonochemical, 26, 361– 369 (2015). Fig. 2 Visible sedimentation change in tube after ultrasonication A. 0,074 M FeCl₂·4H₂O in H₂O B. 0,074 M FeCl₂·4H₂O in PBS buffer C. 0,074 M FeSO₄·7H₂O in H₂O D. 0,024 M FeSO₄·7H₂O in H₂O E. FeSO₄·7H₂O with 0,01 M sodium acetate F. 0,024 M FeSO₄·7H₂O with 0,001 M trisodium citrate

• Other nanofluids after 1 hour after ultrasonication maintain solution colour unchanged and particles not sedimented.

DLS data results are close to TEM results.